



Comparing Responses to Sexual Rejection and Sexual and Relationship Well-Being in Couples Coping with Sexual Interest/Arousal Disorder and Community Couples

Sexual Interest/Arousal Disorder (SIAD) is the absence or lack of sexual interest or arousal that persists for 6+ months and can lead to feelings of guilt, sadness, or frustration by both parties in a relationship.

Sexual rejections are instances when sexual advances are declined by a partner. It is the most commonly reported sexual problem by women and can be met with various types of responses, including:

UNDERSTANDING

Accepting their rejection and letting them know you still love them and are attracted to them.

RESENTFUL

Expressing anger, acting cold, ignoring, guilting them.

INSECURE

Feeling hurt or upset, thinking their paxrtner is not attracted to them.

ENTICING

Attempting to re-initiate sex, change their mind, or get them in the mood.

We investigated whether couples with one partner who had SIAD and couples from a community sample who were not assessed for SIAD would respond differently to sexual rejection.



Method

Data from two large North American studies were analyzed. The studies involved 241 couples coping with SIAD and 105 community couples, but all participants were asked:



- how often sexual rejection occurred in their relationship
- how they/their partner responded to sexual rejection
- about their own sexual satisfaction, desire, distress, and frequency, and relationship satisfaction

Results

When declining sexual advances, individuals in the SIAD sample perceived and reported **more** resentful and insecure responses than those in the community sample.

For **both** the couples coping with SIAD and the community couples, **more** understanding and **less** resentful and insecure responses were associated with greater sexual and relationship well-being for **both** couple members.



Although couples coping with SIAD indicated more resentful and insecure responses, they **still** appeared to be drawing on **adaptive** responses to rejection to the same extent as community couples.

The findings of this study highlight the potential for interventions fostering more helpful (e.g., understanding) responses to sexual rejection to improve sexual and relationship well-being for couples dealing with low sexual desire.

Schwenck, G. C., Bergeron, S., Huberman, J. S., Oliveira, H., Impett, E. A., & Rosen, N. O. (2023). Comparing Responses to Sexual Rejection and Sexual and Relationship Well-Being in Couples Coping with Sexual Interest/Arousal Disorder and Community Couples. *The Journal of Sex Research*, 1–14.